Criteria for KTH Research Infrastructures

STRATEGIC, USED BY MANY AND INCLUSIVE

Criteria 1: Be of strategic interest for KTH, with a clear vision, purpose and focus.
Discuss scientific as well as industrial relevance. Discuss shortly potential development strategies and possible needs.

Criteria 2: Have a broad user base and be of interest for and used by several research groups at KTH.
Summarize users today and estimated users (both internal and external) when support is developed.

Criteria 3: All researchers at KTH must be treated equally with respect to access, user fees and conditions to the research infrastructure. To achieve this, use of digitalized internal billing systems working well with funding bodies, for example LIMS, should be an ambition.
Please address these issues for your research infrastructure. Specify current status, desired position within 2 years and your plan for reaching it.

Criteria 4: Provide user support in terms of training on the use of all aspects of the infrastructure or direct support when the infrastructure is used. If not developed fully, there should be a plan for how to reach the criteria. Introducing application experts is an example on how to achieve this.
Specify current status, desired position within 2 years and your plan for reaching it.

LONG TERM PLANNING RELATING TO ORGANISATION, FUNDING, SCIENTIFIC GOALS & WIDER IMPACT

Criteria 5: Be owned or controlled (fully or partly) by KTH, and be organizationally and economically recognized as a separate entity.
Specify current status and desired position within 2 years.

Criteria 6: Have a long term planning concerning its impact on research, education and society, and its development, keeping up with state of the art.
Please note that the research infrastructure should not have its own research agenda. Specify from the point of view of research groups using the infrastructure. Include if applicable its use in basic/advanced educational programs.

Criteria 7: Economical sustainability - a long term planning concerning investments and operations. Cost of decommissioning should be included briefly.
Clarify cost and income structure from both an operational as well as investment perspective.

Criteria 8: Have transparent and efficient governance.
Describe the current management structure, including Director's engagement. Advisory board/board if applicable.

CONTINUOUS QUALITY DEVELOPMENT

Criteria 9: Be reviewed regularly with respect to the above criteria and relevant KPI's established by each research infrastructure. The review is organized by the Deputy President.
Specify relevant KPI's on which the research infrastructure should be reviewed after one year of funding.